REMARKS

This communication is responsive to the Office Action mailed on July 12, 2004 in the above-identified U.S. patent application. Restriction has been required between claims 1-12, 37-40, 49-50, 87-90 (Group I), claims 43-48, 85, 86, 91-92 (Group II), claims 53-54 (Group III), claim 70 (Group IV), and claim 72 (Group V). In addition, the Examiner has required Applicant to elect from patentably distinct species from a multitude of figures from figure 1 to figure 58B for examination.

Applicant hereby elects the invention of Group II (claims 43-48, 85, 86, and 91-92), and the species of figures 22A-22B. Original claims 85-86, 91-92 and new claims 93-101 are believed to read on this species. It is understood that upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species that are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Examination and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew D. Gathy
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No.: 46,441
755 Main Street
Monroe, CT 06468
(203) 459-0200

Attorney Docket No.: IND-126
Date: August 9, 2004